

GEODATE FOR WINDOWS VERSION 1: ISOTOPE REGRESSION AND MODELLING SOFTWARE

by

B.M. EGLINGTON¹ AND R.E. HARMER¹

¹Laboratory Unit, Council for Geoscience, Pretoria

DECEMBER 1999

OPEN FILE REPORT 1999-0206 O



CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	
OPTIONS AVAILABLE	
PROGRAM REQUIREMENTS	4
Files required	
INSTALLING GEODATE FOR WINDOWS	
DIFFERENCES BETWEEN THE DOS VERSION OF GEODATE AND THE	WINDOWS VERSION 6
PROGRAM OPERATION	7
DATA INPUT AND EDITING	
Entering new data from keyboard	
Editing data	
Importing data from spreadsheets	
CALCULATION OF REGRESSION DATES	
Calculated uncertainties	
CALCULATION OF WEIGHTED AVERAGES AND MODEL DATES	
Depleted mantle and uniform reservoir models	
Lead isotope evolution models	
Weighted averages	
Concordia dates	
SCREEN GRAPHICS	
STORING RESULTS IN A DATEVIEW DATABASE	
TOPICS AVAILABLE VIA THE OPTIONS MENU	
Alpha level	
Concordia weight	
Ellipse magnification	
F table	
Pb models	
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	24
ACKNOWLEDGEMENIS	
BIBLIOGRAPHY AND REFERENCES	24

Introduction

This manual documents the operation of GEODATE for Windows (version 1.3), a 32-bit program for the regression and modelling of isotope data. The software has been written for use on microcomputers using Windows 95/98/2000/NT and compatible operating systems.

Since this package is supplied free of charge, we request that its use be acknowledged when publishing. Correct reference is:

Eglington, B.M. and Harmer, R.E. (1999). GEODATE for Windows version 1: Isotope regression and modelling software. Council for Geoscience Open-file report 1999-0206 O, 24pp.

Options available

The program is capable of regressing and processing simple X-Y data, Rb-Sr, Sm-Nd, U-Pb, Pb, Pb, Th-Pb, Lu-Hf, La-Ce, radiogenic U-Pb (Wetherill and Tera-Wasserburg approaches), Re-Os, K-Ar, Ar-Ar, evaporation Pb-Pb and Lu-Hf data with errors in both ordinates. Correlation between the errors can also be considered. Regression is based on the techniques of York (1969), Williamson (1968) and Titterington and Halliday (1979). Age, initial ratio and epsilon/gamma values, and their uncertainties, are calculated following the techniques of Ludwig (1980, 1990), Roddick (1987), Harmer and Eglington (1991) and Eglington and Harmer (1993). Concordia dates for radiogenic U-Pb data are calculated following the procedure documented by Ludwig (1988).

Routines are included to maintain and edit data files, and to generate on-screen plots of the regressions, weighted averages and concordia dates. It is also possible to import and export Microsoft Excel spreadsheets and to store the results of geochronological calculations in a separate database which may be queried using the DateView software of Eglington (1999). A copy of DateView is provided and installed with GEODATE for Windows.

Program requirements

Files required

The primary application executable, titled GDW1, was written in Delphi (currently version 4.0). The software comprises the file GDW1.EXE, various ActiveX components, the Borland Database Engine (BDE) and various Paradox data tables used for handling the data. Legal copies of all these files are automatically installed by the InstallShield software. The file GDW1.INI (in the Windows directory) is automatically created the fist time the program is run. This ASCII text file contains many of the parameter definitions e.g. decay constants utilised within GEODATE for Windows and may be edited by the user, as detailed elsewhere in this documentation.

By default, data are stored in random access files, separate files being used for each isotopic technique. These files all have the extension ".YK?" where "?" is a numeral in the range 0 to 9 or letter from A to H, corresponding to the analytical codes used in the program. The default versions of these codes are:

0 – general (no isotope system) 1 - Rb-Sr 2 - Sm-Nd3 - Pb-Pb 4 - ²³⁸U-Pb 5 - ²³⁵U-Pb 6 - Th-Pb 7 - Lu-Hf 8 – radiogenic U-Pb (Wetherill concordia approach) 9 - La-Ce A - radiogenic U-Pb (Tera-Wasserburg approach) B - K-Ar C – Ar-Ar D – Ar reverse E – K-Ca F-Re-Os G – La-Ba H - Kober type zircon evaporation lead

These files are compatible with earlier DOS versions of GEODATE (e.g. Eglington and Harmer, 1991).

Record zero of each file contains a title for the data set whilst the data is stored in record 1 ... MaxSamples. The maximum number of samples permitted is usually 200, but can vary according to the version provided. The data record structure is as follows:

DataRecord = record	
case integer of	
1 : (Sano : string[10];	
XElemConc, YElemConc, XRatio, XPrecis,	
XError, YRatio, YPrecis, YError, Correl	: 6 byte real;
AnTyp : char;	
ErTyp : char;	
RFlag : char;	
PFlag : char;	
ZRatio, ZPrecis, ZError : 6 byte real);	
2 : (Tit : string[80];	
NRep : integer;	
sparefield : array[145] of byte);	
end;	

Most of the fields constituting these records are self explanatory, those which might require some explanation are:

AnTyp - analytical type (0 9, AH)	
ErTyp - error type (1 4)	1 = % X error and % Y error
	2 = % X error and actual Y error
	3 = actual X error and % Y error
	4 = actual X and Y errors
RFlag – regress flag	Y = include for regression
	N = exclude from regression
PFlag – plot flag (affects screen plotting output and	Y = include for plots
export files)	N = exclude from plots
ZRatio, ZPrecis and ZError are extra variables	
needed in some of the isotope systems. For instance	
²⁰⁷ Pb/ ²⁰⁶ Pb and its uncertainty is required in the Pb-	
Pb and concordia systems in order to calculate the	
error correlation coefficient (see later).	
NRep is the number of replicates used or assumed	
to define the analytical uncertainties and F cutoff	
value. Usually this will be 999 (an approximation	
for infinity).	

Installing GEODATE for Windows

Installation of GEODATE for Windows is easy. Simply follow the normal Windows 95/98/2000/NT approach for adding new software from the Windows Control Panel. Setup options are controlled by the InstallShield package which provides a professional interface for selecting the various options available to the user. Most users should accept the default options provided during this installation process. This process will also install and register all the software components required for the software to function in the Windows 95/98/2000/NT environment.

Differences between the DOS version of GEODATE and the Windows version

There have been a number of improvements and changes made since the original DOS version of this software. The main changes are:

- GEODATE now runs in a 32-bit Windows environment.
- GEODATE now uses one F cut-off file, a Paradox table. The number of replicates used for each data set is now stored with the data in the .YK? file or defaults to 999. This modification increases the flexibility of GEODATE since analytical precisions for the various isotope systems and rock suites analysed are frequently defined at different confidence levels.
- Model confidence limits are presented at 95% confidence, not 1 sigma as in early DOS versions of GEODATE. The approach used is the same as for GEODATE (DOS) version 2.2. Remember that these confidence limits are directly related to the number of replicates used to assess analytical uncertainties.
- Model ²³⁸U/²⁰⁴Pb values and model Pb-Pb dates can be calculated for either one date supplied by the user or for individual dates specified in the 'Extra' field of each data record..
- Weighted averages can be calculated for a variety of variables.
- Histograms can be drawn for a variety of variables, including Nd model dates and model ²³⁸U/²⁰⁴Pb values.
- Data defining all screen graphics may be exported to Microsoft Excel spreadsheets for subsequent high quality plotting using packages such as GRAPHER for Windows (R).
- On-screen graphs may be modified or sent to a printer by right-clicking the image and selecting the appropriate options. Alternatively, they may be saved as metafiles or bitmap images.
- GEODATE can now perform constrained regressions.
- In addition to the GEODATE file structure used by DOS versions of GEODATE, it is now possible to access Microsoft Excel spreadsheets. The column order for individual isotope systems is stored in the .INI file so that, if one continually uses the same file structure, it is not necessary to define which column matches which variable each time a data set is imported. Users may thus use spreadsheet files rather than the .YK? files for all their data entry if they so desire.

- GEODATE for Windows can now also process radiogenic data using the Tera-Wasserburg approach (Tera and Wasserburg, 1972) and can convert data from this format to the Wetherill format or *vice versa*.
- A number of additional isotope systems have been implemented, including Ar-Ar in various formats.
- Weighted concordia dates (Ludwig, 1998) may now be calculated for zircon and other radiogenic U-Pb data.
- Various geochronological information calculated by GEODATE may now be directly exported to DateView (Eglington, 1999) database files.

Program operation

On starting the program, the user is provided with a screen, as illustrated in Figure 1. Menu items available are: File, Edit, Regress, Model, Average, Options and Help. Some of the most commonly used menu items may also be accessed via speed buttons on a tool bar below the menu.



Figure 1. Main window for GEODATE for Windows.

Data input and editing

Data may be input from any one of three sources: the keyboard, a GEODATE data file or a Microsoft Excel spreadsheet (Excel 5 or Excel 97).

Entering new data from keyboard

Keyboard entry first requires one to define the isotope system used and the general form of the uncertainties. One also needs to type in all the sample numbers at this stage. The dialog for this process is illustrated in Figure 2.

	New samples	
	Isotope System Rb-Sr Default values X wt (1 sigma) .09 Y wt (1 sigma) .09 Error correlation .94	Cancel
gure 2. Initial keyboard entry window • defining blanket uncertainties (if		

Fig for applicable) and sample numbers.

Once this process has been completed, a new window is displayed (Figure 3) and the user may enter and edit the numeric data for each record.

Editing data

💱 Edit Data				_ 🗆 ×
			► H + - ×	1 of 10
Title Kamo et al N	loril'sk l intrusion		# replicates 999	Close
Sample 1 zr	ab	U 1917.0000	Pb 98.0000	🗙 Cancel
_	Value	Precision I	Uncertainty	📕 Recalculate
207Pb/235U	0.281900	0.000400	0.000400 a 💌	Errors
206Pb/238U	0.039850	0.000040	0.000040 a 💌	
207Pb/206Pb	0.051310	0.000040		Precisions
Error correlation	0.847			Correlation
Include for reg	ression 🔽 li	nclude for plotting		Evap. 1 sigma
Latitude	Lo	ongitude		

Figure 3. Window for editing of data. All editing is held in memory and the original data file is not automatically updated. The user should save changes as necessary.

For some isotope systems (e.g. Rb-Sr) the operator may choose to have the program calculate the relevant X/Y atomic ratio for each sample. X/Y atomic ratios are calculated as follows:

 $\label{eq:states} \begin{array}{l} {}^{87}\text{Rb}/{}^{86}\text{Sr} = \text{Rb}/\text{Sr}_{\text{ppm}} \ (2.692948 + 0.283040 * {}^{87}\text{Sr}/{}^{86}\text{Sr}) \\ {}^{147}\text{Sm}/{}^{144}\text{Nd} = \text{Sm}/\text{Nd}_{\text{ppm}} \ (0.531497 + 0.142521 * {}^{143}\text{Nd}/{}^{144}\text{Nd}) \\ {}^{176}\text{Lu}/{}^{177}\text{Hf} = \text{Lu}/\text{Hf}_{\text{ppm}} \ (0.134399 + 0.025983 * {}^{176}\text{Hf}/{}^{177}\text{Hf}) \\ {}^{138}\text{La}/{}^{142}\text{Ce} = \text{La}/\text{Ce}_{\text{ppm}} \ (0.00811) \end{array}$

These, and other, values are based on the atomic masses provided by Wapstra and Bos (1977), but may be changed in file GDW1.INI in the Windows folder.

The program expects all analytical uncertainties to be expressed as one sigma values, either as % or actual, according to the procedure used at one's laboratory. Blanket uncertainties may be chosen during data input. The uncertainties specified will be used for each of the samples and can thus reduce the amount of typing required. Obviously, this option would only be used if all the samples do, in fact, have the same uncertainties, expressed in the same way.

If the concentrations are changed during editing, the operator may choose to have the program recalculate the relevant X/Y atomic ratio (using formulae such as those presented above) by clicking on the 'Recalculate' button. The operator will be asked whether to accept the new value or not if it is significantly different from that already available.

Analytical uncertainties for regressions, weighted averages, etc may be derived from the precisions associated with each X and Y measurement. This is of paarticular use when importing SHRIMP U-Pb data from the spreadsheets typically used for reporting. In this case, a dialog (Figure 4) is presented, prompting the user to select the variable concerned and the nature of the original precision. This process needs to be done for each individual record in the data set.

Specify precision to be used i	for error weights 💶 🗖 🗙
Variable	🗸 ок
© 207Pb/235U	
O 206Pb/238U	🗙 Cancel
Sigma	
Precision given was 1 sigma	
C Precision given was 2 sigma	

Figure 4. Dialog for calculating analytical uncertainties from precisions.

It is also possible to calculate the error correlation for radiogenic U-Pb and Pb-Pb data from the precisions and values provided if appropriate variables are stored in the 'Extra' variable and its precision. For Pb-Pb and Wetherill-type radiogenic U-Pb data this should ²⁰⁷Pb/²⁰⁶Pb. Calculation follows the formulation of Ludwig (1980, 1998).

It is sometimes possible to obtain within-run precisions for zircon evaporation data which are significantly better than between-run precisions. It is thus possible to specify a minimum permissible percentage uncertainty for these data by clicking on the *Evap. 1 sigma* button in the Edit Data window. The user is then prompted for a minimum value, as illustrated in Figure 5

🛐 Enter m	inimum 1 sigma	value 💶 🗆 🗙
Precision	0.0010 %	● OK
		🗙 Cancel

Figure 5. Dialog for specifying the minimum percent analytical uncertainty allowed for zircon evaporation results.

Data may be included or excluded from the regression by means of the 'Regress Flag' option in the Edit Menu. Any point excluded from a regression will not be deleted from the file but will appear separately on the printout.

Once keyboard entry is complete, the operator is prompted for the name of a GEODATE type file in which to store the data. Thereafter, if changes are made, it is up to the operator to save the modified file. *Changes are not automatically updated in the file!*

Importing data from spreadsheets

Data may also be easily imported from Excel spreadsheets. Figure 6 illustrates the dialog provided when one chooses to import data from an Excel spreadsheet. Once a spreadsheet has been opened (click on the *Open* button at the top of the window and select the file you want), the user needs to specify which rows to import and the columns in which the various fields will be found. One must also specify the isotope system so that GEODATE knows what to call each column. Once one is happy with the specifications, click the *Import* button, data is imported and is presented in the standard Edit Data window. Thereafter, the user is prompted for the name of a GEODATE file in which to store the data.

The column specifications for each isotope system are stored in the GDW.INI file. If one uses the same format for other data sets, then it is not necessary to respecify the column setting when performing subsequent imports. The ease of operation of this option now makes it feasible to use spreadsheets as the primary data file in place of the customised GEODATE files.

			-							
2	<u>C</u> ancel		🗁 Ope	n						
	Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	н		J
1		U	РЬ	207 Pb/235		1 sigma		206 Pb/238		1 sigma
2	1.1	891.51	149	1.7559	0.05671	3.229683		0.17213	0.005	0.005
3	2.1	889.47	110	1.2736	0.03834	0.03834		0.12635	0.00363	0.00363
4	3.1	1346.7	118	0.78786	0.041	0.041		0.09027	0.0032	0.0032
5	4.1	698.1	104	1.4518	0.05086	0.05086		0.14475	0.00419	0.00419
6	5.1	813.26	114	1.45	0.04977	0.04977		0.14617	0.0042	0.0042
7	5.2	265.24 ssion d		1.8292	0.06026	0.06026	3	0.17948	0.00523	0.00523
soto	ope System	n								
JA4										
Wetherill 207Pb/235U vs 206Pb/238U										
1. 46	etherill		•		207Pb/2	235U vs	206Pb.	2380		
-			<u>•</u>							
-	etherill ne rows to	import		 Pr	207Pb/2 refix to ad					
) Defi		<u> </u>	o row 13			ld to samp			E Ir	nport
) Defi	ne rows to	<u> </u>	▼ o row 13		refix to ad	ld to samp			ji 🔁	nport
Defii Fro	ne rows to	<u> </u>	▼ o row 13		refix to ad	ld to samp			ıl 🔒	nport
Defii Fro	ne rows to om row 2	<u> </u>			refix to ad	ld to samp	ole numbe		t 🕒	nport Column
Defii Fro Defii	ne rows to om row 2 ne fields	Colurr	n T		refix to ad Sample pro	ld to samp efix	ole numbe	rs	ţ	
Defii Fro Defii	ne rows to om row 2		n T		refix to ad Sample pre	ld to samp	ole numbe	rs	1	
Defii Fro Defii Sa	ne rows to om row 2 ne fields	Colurr	ייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייי		refix to ad Sample pro	ld to samp efix Pb	ole numbe	irs Iumn C		Column
Defii Fro Defii Sa 20	ne rows to om row 2 ne fields imple No. 17Pb/235U	Colurr A	וחר ער וחר	J Precision	refix to ad Sample pro Column	ld to sam; efix Pb Unc	ole numbe Co ertainty	irs ilumn C F	Error Type	Column
Defii Fro Defii Sa 20	ne rows to om row 2 ne fields- imple No.	Colurr	וחר ער וחר		refix to ad Sample pro Column	ld to sam; efix Pb Unc	ole numbe	irs ilumn C F		Column
Defi Fro Defi Sa 20	ne rows to om row 2 ne fields mple No. 7Pb/235U 6Pb/238U	Colur A D		J Precision Precision	refix to ad Sample pro Column B E I	ld to sam; efix Pb Unc	ole numbe Co ertainty	irs ilumn C F	Error Type	Column
Defi Fro Defi Sa 20	ne rows to om row 2 ne fields imple No. 17Pb/235U	Colur A D		J Precision	refix to ad Sample pro Column	ld to sam; efix Pb Unc	ole numbe Co ertainty	irs ilumn C F	Error Type	Column
Defii Fro Defii Sa 20 20 20	ne rows to om row 2 ne fields mple No. 7Pb/235U 6Pb/238U	Colur A D H		J Precision Precision	refix to ad Sample pro Column B E I	ld to sam; efix Pb Unc	Co Co ertainty ertainty	irs ilumn C J E	Error Type	Column
Defii Fro Defii Sa 20 20 Err	ne rows to om row 2 ne fields mple No. 7Pb/235U 6Pb/238U 7Pb/206Pb	Colur A P H		J Precision Precision	refix to ad Sample pro Column E I M	ld to sam; efix Pb Unc Unc	Co Co ertainty ertainty	irs ilumn C J E	Error Type	Column G K

Figure 6. Spreadsheet import window. After opening an Excel spreadsheet, one selects the appropriate isotope system and then defines the starting and ending rows to be imported and the columns in which the various data are stored. These column settings will be remembered for future use so as to minimise user input requirements if the same spreadsheet layout is used for other data.

Calculation of regression dates

Regression of data in this software follows the techniques of York (1969), Williamson (1968), Titterington and Halliday (1979) and Ludwig (1980, 1990). Uncertainties in the "X" and "Y" ordinates, together with their error correlation, are taken into account. Error correlation in U-Pb studies should be consistent with the equations of Ludwig (1980). The procedure cycles until the difference between two successive slopes is less than 0.000001 or the number of iterative cycles exceeds 200.

Dates for standard isochron type techniques (e.g. Rb-Sr, Sm-Nd), derived from the best-fit line, are calculated by substitution of the slope of the line into the equation:

Pb-Pb dates are determined by Newton's iterative technique, following regression. Iteration continues until two successive dates differ by less than 20 000 years.

Default decay constants used are:

 $\label{eq:result} \begin{array}{l} ^{87}\text{Rb} = 1.42 \ x \ 10^{-11} \ a^{-1} \\ ^{147}\text{Sm} = 6.54 \ x \ 10^{-12} \ a^{-1} \\ ^{238}\text{U} = 1.55125 \ x \ 10^{-10} \ a^{-1} \\ ^{235}\text{U} = 9.8485 \ x \ 10^{-10} \ a^{-1} \\ ^{232}\text{Th} = 4.9475 \ x \ 10^{-11} \ a^{-1} \\ ^{177}\text{Lu} = 1.940 \ x \ 10^{-11} \ a^{-1} \\ ^{138}\text{La} = 2.58 \ x \ 10^{-12} \ a^{-1} \end{array}$

These, and other values, may be changed in the GDW1.INI file in the Windows folder.

Radiogenic U-Pb data (Wetherill aand Tera-Wasserburg concordia approach) may be regressed according to three methods. The operator may choose the standard technique where weighting is identical to that used in the Rb-Sr system, or may choose to augment the errors according to the degree of discordance of the points relative to the upper or lower concordia intercepts. In these cases the data are augmented following the formulation of Ludwig (1990) but with "p" initially held to a value of 0.2 (Eglington and Harmer, (1993). Figure 7 illustrates the dialog used to select the weighting approach for these situations.

Figure 7. Dialog used to specify the regression weighting approach to be used for radiogenic U-Pb data.



Concordia intercept dates are derived following the approach of Ludwig (1980). Here too, successive dates must differ by less than 20 000 years before the procedure used returns a value.

Typical regression results for isochron data and radiogenic U-Pb data are illustrated in Figure 8 and Figure 9, respectively. It is also possible to calculate regressions constrained to pass through some point specified by the user. The approach used to calculate this form of regression is to use the X and Y values

of the constraining point be the centroid of the line. The regression uncertainties obtained in this way are believed to be more realistic than those calculated by 'adding' and extra precisely-defined point since this latter methodology increases the spread in the data and thus artificially reduces the regression uncertainties (Harmer and Eglington, 1991).

🔞 Regression Result	_ _ _ _
👖 Qlose 🕒 Print 💹 Sprd Sht 🎒 Store 💹 Re	-[egress
Red Heemskirk granite	
Regression converged after 2 iterations	1.57E+0
Centroid 87Rb/86Sr 9.287306 87Sr/86Sr 0.763503	1.38E+0
Slope = 0.0047943 ± 0.0000449 (1 sigma)	1.20E+0
Intercept = 0.718977 ± 0.000793 (1 sigma)	120210
	1.01E+0
MSWD = 10.323 on 9 of 12 points Beyond anal. uncertainty	8.28E-1
Errors augmented by Sqrt(MSWD/2.17)	6,43E-1 +
F (0.050; 60; 7) = 2.17 MSVVD forced to the F cut-off. Students t = 2.00	
Probability of fit 0.000	Regression line Frror ellipses Frror envelope
Date = 336.82 ± 6.33 (Ma +/- 95% confidence)	Update symbols 🔣 < 🕨 🖬
	Sample H1 🔽
	1 sigma (X) 1.000000 % 1 sigma (Y) 0.050000 %
Initial ratio = 0.71897 ± 0.001587 (95% confidence)	Misfit (X) 0.211672 % Misfit (Y) -0.051756 %
Epsilon = 208.478 ± 22.067 (95% confidence)	
Iteration 2 MSVVD	

Figure 8. Regression result window for typical isochron data, in this case Rb-Sr. Data are illustrated graphically (red symbols for points included in the regression and blue symbols for those excluded). The user may step through the data by clicking on the navigator bar below the graph. The current sample is highlit in a large yellow square. Results may be printed, saved in an Excel spreadsheet or stored in a DateView database.



Figure 9. Regression result window for Wetherill type radiogenic U-Pb data. Data are illustrated graphically (red symbols with magenta error ellipses for points included in the regression and blue symbols and ellipses for those excluded). If the user requires that the spreadsheet also contain the co-ordinates of the error ellipses, then the 'Error ellipse' check box beneath the graph must be checked prior to saving to spreadsheet.

Calculated uncertainties

Errors calculated for isochrons by GEODATE are based on the approach of York (1969) but, where the MSWD exceeds the specified Critical F value, the operator is prompted to choose one of a number of models with which to treat the data, as illustrated in Figure 10.



Figure 10. Dialog used to select the errorchron enhancement approach to be used when MSWD > F.

Options are:

GEODATE for Windows v1.3

- augment uncertainties by the square root of MSWD/Critical F. Mathematical details of this approach have been summarised by Harmer and Eglington (1991). The Student's t multiplier for 95% confidence estimation is derived (formula from Ludwig, 1983,1990) from the number of replicates utilised to define the analytical uncertainties.
- follow Ludwig's (1983) Model 2 approach in which no assumptions are made as regards analytical uncertainties and error correlation is assumed to be 0.0. This technique, as implemented here, forces the MSWD to a value of 1.0. The Student's t multiplier for 95% confidence estimation is derived from the number of samples regressed, not from the number of replicates utilised to define the analytical uncertainties.
- an option based on Model 3 of Ludwig (1983,1990) and is only available for standard isochron decay schemes (e.g. Rb-Sr, Sm-Nd). Excess scatter is assumed to be due to normally distributed variation in the initial ratios of the samples, in addition to their analytical uncertainties. The model requires the assumption that the sample initial ratios were not correlated with the parent/daughter ratios. The Student's t multiplier for 95% confidence estimation is derived from the number of samples regressed, not from the number of replicates utilised to define the analytical uncertainties. Calculation iterates until MSWD is forced to the chosen Critical F value.
- Ludwig's (1990) model 4 approach for radiogenic U-Pb (concordia) data in which analytical uncertainties are continually augmented relative to the discordance of the point until MSWD is equal to the Critical F value. This is achieved by increasing the value of "p". The Student's t multiplier is derived from a weighted sum of the number of samples regressed (n_r) such that this sum will always be less than n_r.
- an option proposed by Harmer and Eglington (1991) as being intuitively more appropriate for isochron type decay schemes. This model assigns separate analytical and 'scatter' components contributions to errorchron regression errors according to the following formulae:

$$\sigma^2(\text{excess}) = \sigma^2(\text{analytical}) * (\text{MSWD/F critical} - 1)$$

• where uncertainties are not augmented to account for scatter in excess of that explained by analytical uncertainty. 95% confidence intervals are based on a Student's t value derived from the number of replicates used to define the analytical uncertainties. This approach will always provide an underestimate of the calculated uncertainties for errorchrons.

For the standard isochron techniques, age errors are determined using the same equation as that used for the calculation of the age. Concordia intercept age errors are determined by means of the equations presented by Ludwig (1980). Pb-Pb age errors are determined by substitution of the adjusted (plus or minus) slopes into equations similar to those used to derive concordia intercept uncertainties.

Source Pb-Pb growth-curves are calculated assuming either a single stage (starting at 4.57 Ga) or Stacey and Kramers (1975) two-stage model (3.7 Ga starting point). Details of the mathematics are presented in Appendix E. The user may select the model to be used from the Options Menu. Errors associated with these curves are calculated using the error envelope approach of Ludwig (1980), as explained in Appendix E. All these procedures are iterative and two successive æ values must differ by less than 0.0002 before a value is returned to the calling routine.

Calculation of weighted averages and model dates

These two menu items permit the calculation of model uniform reservoir; depleted mantle; initial ratio; epsilon/gamma or model source ²³⁸U^{/204}Pb values, model ²⁰⁷Pb/²⁰⁶Pb and weighted averages for each of the three measurement variables provided. Several of the calculations may be performed for the same date or initial ratio for all records or for a date specified in the extra variable field. It is also possible to calculate weighted average concordia dates (Ludwig, 1998).

Depleted mantle and uniform reservoir models

Model depleted mantle and uniform reservoir evolution is described by equations of the form

ratio =
$$at^2 + bt + c$$

where t is the age in years. The parameters a, b and c are specified in the GDW1.INI file for each decay system and may be modified by the user using any ASCII text editor..

Default T_{DM} dates for Sm-Nd and Rb-Sr, etc are based on equations of the form Ben Othman et al. (1984) or De Paolo (1991) whereas T_{UR} dates are based on the following constants:

87 Rb/ 86 Sr = 0.0847	${}^{87}\text{Sr}/{}^{86}\text{Sr} = 0.7047$
$^{147}\mathrm{Sm}^{/144}\mathrm{Nd} = 0.1967$	143 Nd/ 144 Nd = 0.51264
$^{176}Lu/^{177}Hf = 0.0334$	$^{176}\text{Hf}/^{177}\text{Hf} = 0.28286$

GEODATE for Windows v1.3

$${}^{138}\text{La}/{}^{142}\text{Ce} = 0.00306 \qquad {}^{138}\text{Ce}/{}^{142}\text{Ce} = 0.0228527$$

These, and other values, may also be changed in the GDW1.INI file in the Windows folder.

Lead isotope evolution models

The user may specify up to three lead isotope evolution systems in the .INI file. One is assumed to represent a single stage model, another a two stage model and the third may be any user defined model which matches the simple formulation:

ratio = initial ratio + 238U/204Pb ($e^{\lambda t} - 1$) for ²³⁸U decay and similarly for ²³⁵U and ²³²Th.

Weighted averages

Weighted averages are automatically calculated for all models selected and are presented in a window such as that illustrated in Figure 11.



Figure 11. Weighted average calculated for the model selected, in this case model ²⁰⁷Pb/²⁰⁶Pb for radiogenic U-Pb data. One may print the results, save individual records to spreadsheet or store the weighted average in a DateView database.

Errors calculated for initial ratios or epsilon values (at a given age) are based on the error propagation formulation of Roddick (1987). A similar approach has been used to calculate the uncertainties for model dates when assuming some initial ratio.

In all cases, the pooled uncertainties for a number of "included" data points is calculated as the greater of the standard deviation of the values or the pooled standard error.Weighted averages for these parameters are calculated following the techniques of Claoue-Long (1989) and Eglington and Harmer (1993).

Concordia dates

Concordia dates (Ludwig, 1998) may also be calculated for radiogenic U-Pb data. The results of these calculations are illustrated in Figure 12.



Figure 12. Concordia date for the Noril'sk data of Kamo et al. (19??). These data are shown to be statistically equivalent (probability of equivalence = 0.172) but their weighted concordia date is not within error of the concordia curve if decay constant uncertainties are ignored.

Screen graphics

Graphical representations of the data are provided or may be created by the user after providing additional information e.g. for histogram plots. All graphs may be modified by right-clicking them and following the menu options provided. Default colours for the graphs may be changed in the GDW1.INI file.

Storing results in a DateView database

Many of the geochronological results provided by GEODATE for Windows may be stored in a DateView database. In all such cases, the user is prompted to specify the area, stratigraphic unit, lithology, method, interpretation, equipment used and any additional comments before the data is added to this database.

Store in su	ummary	database of rea	sults				- 🗆 >	
Details of uni	it to add	to summary da	tabase					
Area	Test country 💽 🗾 🗸 OK							
Unit	KAMO	KAMO ET AL NORILSK DATA						
Lithology	not de	fined			- 🗾	🗙 Cancel		
Method	zircon	regression			- 📬			
Interpretation	Emplac	ement			- 📬			
Comment								
Equipment	Therm	al ionisation mass	spec.		💌 🗾 GIS	polygon #		
Current statu	us of da	tabase					1 – 1	
Formation		Lithology	System	Technique	Date regr.	Date	+95 🔺	
EGLINGTON	N TEST S	not defined	Concordia	zr	1998/07/27	2998.55		
EGLINGTON	N TEST S	not defined	Concordia	zr	1998/07/27	2998.95		
KAMO ET A	AL NORIL	not defined	Concordia	zr-c	1998/07/27	251.44		
KAMO ET A	AL NORIL	not defined	Concordia	zr-c	1998/07/27	251.26		
PUCHTEL E	T AL DA	not defined	Concordia	zr-c	1998/07/27	2435.62		

Figure 13. DateView data storage window to transfer results from GEODATE for Windows to DateView.

Most of the fields for which the user needs to supply information are lookup fields so as to avoid typing errors and the duplication of information. If the details are not already in the database, the user needs to add them to the database before completing the process and clicking the *OK* button in Figure 13. All appropriate fields for defining lookup values are accessed from one window, illustrated in Figure 14.

💱 Edit Lookup Tables						
Close						
Select table to edit C Area C Lint C Lithology C Method / Material C Interpretation C Area boundaries C Blocking temperature C Equipment	Find					
		+	✓ X	e		
FormationName		FormationRank	CountryAbr	FormationOffset	FormationOffset2	FormationOf
ANCIENT GNEISS		CPLX	TST			
DAVIS TEST SET 1			TST			
DAVIS TEST SET 2			TST			
DAVIS TEST SET 3			TST			
DAVIS TEST SET 4			TST			
DAVIS TEST SET 5			TST			
DAVIS TEST SET 6			TST			•

Figure 14. Windows used to provide access for updating the lookup tables required for completion of data entry in Figure 13.

Topics available via the Options Menu

A number of default options may be set from this menu. These include:

Alpha level

This sets the alpha level to be used for statistical tests, for instance alpha = 0.05 for a 5% level of significance which is normally taken as 95% confidence.

Concordia weight

Here, one may specify the default concordia weighting option. It may be either Normal or Discordance.

GEODATE for Windows v1.3

Ellipse magnification

This option sets the magnification factor to be used for the graphing of data using ellipses. The user may select between 1 sigma or 95% confidence error ellipses.

F table

The F table provides the data for use in assessing whether scatter in regressions and weighted averages is within analytical uncertainty or not. One may have values in the table (illustrated in Figure 15) for various combinations of alpha level, number of replicates and number of samples. The specific alpha level to be applied is specified under the Alpha level sub-menu of the main Options Menu and is also read from the GDW1.INI file whilst the number of replicates defaults to 999 for new data and may be changed in the Edit Data window.

F Statistic Values					
Τ	Alpha	NReplicates	NSamples	Value	
	0.050	20	3	4.350	
	0.050	20	4	3.490	
	0.050	20	5	3.100	
	0.050	20	6	2.870	
	0.050	20	7	2.710	
	0.050	20	8	2.600	
	0.050	20	9	2.510	
	0.050	20	10	2.450	
	0.050	20	11	2.390	
	0.050	20	12	2.350	
					•

Figure 15. Dialog for specifying the F variate values for different combinations of alpha level, number or replicates and number of samples.

Pb models

This specifies the default lead isotope evolution model to use. It may be one of either: Single stage, S+K 2 stage (Stacey and Kramers, 1975 two-stage model), or some other user defined model.

Acknowledgements

We thank the many fellow staff and isotope colleagues throughout South Africa and internationally who have tested earlier versions or contributed suggestions to make this a better package. In particular we thank Moose Kruger, Rich Armstrong and Jan Kramers. We have also benefited greatly from communication with Ken Ludwig and Sam Bowring. The Council for Geoscience is thanked for supporting development of this Windows version of GEODATE.

Bibliography and References

- Ben Othman, D., Polv,, M. and AllŠgre, C.J. (1984). Nd-Sr isotopic composition of granulites and constraints on the evolution of the lower continental crust. *Nature*, **307**,510-515.
- Brooks, C., Hart, S.R. and Wendt, I. (1972). Realistic use of two-error regression treatments as applied to rubidium-strontium data. *Rev. Geophys. Space Physics*, **10**,551-577.
- Cahen, L., Snelling, N.J., Delhal, J. and Vail, J.R. (1983). *The geochronology and evolution of Africa*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 512pp.
- Cumming, G.L. (1969). A recalculation of the age of the solar system. Can. J. Earth Sci., 6, 719-735.
- Cumming, G.L., Rollett, J.S., Rossotti, F.J.C. and Whewell, R.J. (1972). Statistical methods for the computation of stability constants. Part I. Straight-line fitting of points with correlated errors. J. *Chem. Soc., Dalton Transactions*, 23, 2652-2658.
- Davis, D.W. (1982). Optimum linear regression and error estimation applied to U-Pb data. *Can. J. Earth Sci.*, **19**, 2141- 2149.
- DePaolo, D.J., Linn, A.M. and Schubert, G. (1991). The continental crustal age distribution: methods of determining mantle separation ages from Sm-Nd isotopic data and application to the southwestern United States. J. Geophys. Res., 96, 2071-2088.
- Eglington, B.M. and Harmer, R.E. (1993). A review of the statistical principles of geochronometry: II. Additional concepts pertinent to radiogenic U-Pb studies. *S. Afr. J. Geol.*, **96**, 9-21.

GEODATE for Windows v1.3

Faure, G. 1986. Principles of isotope geology. 2nd Edn. John Wiley, New York, 589pp.

- Harmer, R.E. and Eglington, B.M. (1991). A review of the statistical principles of geochronometry: towards a more consistent approach for reporting geochronological data. S. Afr. J. Geol., 93, 845-856.
- Hart, R.J., Welke, H.J. and Nicolaysen, L.O. 1981. Geochronology of the deep profile through Archean basement at Vredefort, with implications for early crustal evolution. J. Geophys. Res., 86, B 10663-10680.
- Kamo, S.L., Czamanske, G.K. and Krogh, T.E. (1996). A minimum U-Pb age for Siberian fload-basalt volcanism. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, **60**, 3505-3511.
- Kent, J.T., Watson, G.S. and Onstott, T.C. (1990). Fitting straight lines and planes with an application to radiometric dating. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, **97**, 1-17.
- Ludwig, K.R. (1980). Calculation of uncertainties of U-Pbisotope data. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, **46**, 212-220.
- Ludwig, K.R. (1983). Plotting and regression programs for isotope geochemists, for use with HP-86/87 microcomputers. U.S.G.S. Open-file Report 83-849, 94pp.
- Ludwig, K.R. (1990). *ISOPLOT: a plotting and regression program for radiogenic-isotope data, for IBM-PC compatible computers. Version 2.03.* U.S.G.S. Open-file Report **88-557**, 45pp. (supplied with source code).
- Ludwig, K.R. (1998). On the treatment of concordant uranium-lead ages. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, **62**, 665-676.
- McIntyre, G.A., Brooks, C., Compston, W. and Turek, A. (1966). The statistical assessment of Rb-Sr isochrons. J. Geophys. Res., **71**, 5459-5468.
- Patchett, P.J. and Tatsumoto, M. (1980). Lu-Hf total-rock isochron for the eucrite meteorites. *Nature*, **288**, 571-574.
- Roddick, J.C. (1987). Generalised numerical error analysis with applications to geochronology and thermodynamics. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta.*, **51**, 2129-2135.

GEODATE for Windows v1.3

- Stacey, J.S. and Kramers, J.D. 1975. Approximation of terrestrial lead isotope evolution by a two-stage model. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, **26**, 207-221.
- Steiger, R.H. and J.,ger, E. (1977). Subcommission on Geochronology: convention on the use of decay constants in geo- and cosmochronology. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, **36**,359-362.
- Tanaka, T. and Masuda, A. (1982). The La-Ce geochronometer: a new dating method. *Nature*, **300**, 515-518.
- Titterington, D.M. and Halliday, A.N. (1979). On the fitting of parallel isochrons and the method of maximum likelihood. *Chem. Geol.*, **26**, 183-195.
- York, D. (1966). Least-squares fitting of a straight line. Can. J. Physics, 44, 1079-1086.
- York, D. (1969). Least-squares fitting of a straight line with correlated errors. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, **5**, 320-324.
- Wapstra, A.H. and Bos, K. (1977). The 1977 atomic mass evaluation Part 1. Atomic mass table. *Atomic Data and Nuclear Data Tables*, **19**, 177-214.
- Welke, H. and Nicolaysen, L.O. 1981. A new interpretative procedure for whole rock U-Pb systems applied to the Vredefort crustal profile. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **86**, B, 10681-10687.
- Wendt, I. (1984). A three-dimensional U-Pb discordia plane to evaluate samples with common lead of unknown isotopic composition. *Isotope Geoscience*, **2**, 1-12.

Williamson, J.H. (1968). Least-squares fitting of a straight line. Can. J. Physics, 46, 1845-1847.